

Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Audit Report Juvenile Facilities

Interim Final

Date of Interim Audit Report: Click or tap here to enter text. N/A

If no Interim Audit Report, select N/A

Date of Final Audit Report: 08/12/2020

Auditor Information

Name: Elaine Brideschge

Email: elaine@preaauditing.com

Company Name: PREA Auditors of America

Mailing Address: 14506 Lakeside Way

City, State, Zip: Cypress, Texas 77429

Telephone: 713-818-9098

Date of Facility Visit: July 13-14, 2020

Agency Information

Name of Agency: Rite of Passage

Governing Authority or Parent Agency (If Applicable): Click or tap here to enter text.

Address: 2560 Business Parkway

City, State, Zip: Minden, Nevada 89423

Mailing Address: Click or tap here to enter text.

City, State, Zip: Click or tap here to enter text.

The Agency Is:

Military

Private for Profit

Private not for Profit

Municipal

County

State

Federal

Agency Website with PREA Information: Click or tap here to enter text.

Agency Chief Executive Officer

Name: Ski James Broman

Email: ski.broman@rop.com

Telephone: 775-267-9411

Agency-Wide PREA Coordinator

Name: Karen Murray

Email: karen.murray@rop.com

Telephone: 303-882-0052

PREA Coordinator Reports to:

Lawrence Howell

Number of Compliance Managers who report to the PREA Coordinator:

6

Facility Information

Name of Facility: Ridge View Youth Services Center

Physical Address: 28101 E Quincy Ave

City, State, Zip: Watkins, CO 80137

Mailing Address: Click or tap here to enter text.

City, State, Zip: Click or tap here to enter text.

The Facility Is:

Military

Private for Profit

Private not for Profit

Municipal

County

State

Federal

Facility Website with PREA Information: www.ridgeviewacademy.com

Has the facility been accredited within the past 3 years? Yes No

If the facility has been accredited within the past 3 years, select the accrediting organization(s) – select all that apply (N/A if the facility has not been accredited within the past 3 years):

ACA

NCCHC

CALEA

Other (please name or describe: CARF

N/A

If the facility has completed any internal or external audits other than those that resulted in accreditation, please describe:
PREA Audit July 2016

Facility Administrator/Superintendent/Director

Name: Jay Koedam

Email: jay.koedam@rop.com

Telephone: 303-214-1121

Facility PREA Compliance Manager

Name: Kelly Turk

Email: Kelly.turk@rop.com

Telephone: 303-214-1163

Facility Health Service Administrator N/A

Name: Evelyn Schott

Email: evelyn.schott@rop.com

Telephone: 303-214-1108

Facility Characteristics

Designated Facility Capacity:

479

Current Population of Facility:	74
Average daily population for the past 12 months:	117.1
Has the facility been over capacity at any point in the past 12 months?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Which population(s) does the facility hold?	<input type="checkbox"/> Females <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Males <input type="checkbox"/> Both Females and Males
Age range of population:	12-21
Average length of stay or time under supervision	5.5 months
Facility security levels/resident custody levels	Staff secure
Number of residents admitted to facility during the past 12 months	292
Number of residents admitted to facility during the past 12 months whose length of stay in the facility was for 72 hours or more:	292
Number of residents admitted to facility during the past 12 months whose length of stay in the facility was for 10 days or more:	0
Does the audited facility hold residents for one or more other agencies (e.g. a State correctional agency, U.S. Marshals Service, Bureau of Prisons, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement)?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Select all other agencies for which the audited facility holds residents: Select all that apply (N/A if the audited facility does not hold residents for any other agency or agencies):	<input type="checkbox"/> Federal Bureau of Prisons <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Marshals Service <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement <input type="checkbox"/> Bureau of Indian Affairs <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Military branch <input type="checkbox"/> State or Territorial correctional agency <input type="checkbox"/> County correctional or detention agency <input type="checkbox"/> Judicial district correctional or detention facility <input type="checkbox"/> City or municipal correctional or detention facility (e.g. police lockup or city jail) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Private corrections or detention provider <input type="checkbox"/> Other - please name or describe: Click or tap here to enter text. <input type="checkbox"/> N/A
Number of staff currently employed by the facility who may have contact with residents:	111
Number of staff hired by the facility during the past 12 months who may have contact with residents:	76
Number of contracts in the past 12 months for services with contractors who may have contact with residents:	2
Number of individual contractors who have contact with residents, currently authorized to enter the facility:	2
Number of volunteers who have contact with residents, currently authorized to enter the facility:	62

Physical Plant

<p>Number of buildings:</p> <p>Auditors should count all buildings that are part of the facility, whether residents are formally allowed to enter them or not. In situations where temporary structures have been erected (e.g., tents) the auditor should use their discretion to determine whether to include the structure in the overall count of buildings. As a general rule, if a temporary structure is regularly or routinely used to hold or house residents, or if the temporary structure is used to house or support operational functions for more than a short period of time (e.g., an emergency situation), it should be included in the overall count of buildings.</p>	15
<p>Number of resident housing units:</p> <p>Enter 0 if the facility does not have discrete housing units. DOJ PREA Working Group FAQ on the definition of a housing unit: How is a "housing unit" defined for the purposes of the PREA Standards? The question has been raised in particular as it relates to facilities that have adjacent or interconnected units. The most common concept of a housing unit is architectural. The generally agreed-upon definition is a space that is enclosed by physical barriers accessed through one or more doors of various types, including commercial-grade swing doors, steel sliding doors, interlocking sally port doors, etc. In addition to the primary entrance and exit, additional doors are often included to meet life safety codes. The unit contains sleeping space, sanitary facilities (including toilets, lavatories, and showers), and a dayroom or leisure space in differing configurations. Many facilities are designed with modules or pods clustered around a control room. This multiple-pod design provides the facility with certain staff efficiencies and economies of scale. At the same time, the design affords the flexibility to separately house residents of differing security levels, or who are grouped by some other operational or service scheme. Generally, the control room is enclosed by security glass, and in some cases, this allows residents to see into neighboring pods. However, observation from one unit to another is usually limited by angled site lines. In some cases, the facility has prevented this entirely by installing one-way glass. Both the architectural design and functional use of these multiple pods indicate that they are managed as distinct housing units.</p>	7 (2 are currently being used)
<p>Number of single resident cells, rooms, or other enclosures:</p>	0
<p>Number of multiple occupancy cells, rooms, or other enclosures:</p>	126
<p>Number of open bay/dorm housing units:</p>	0
<p>Number of segregation or isolation cells or rooms (for example, administrative, disciplinary, protective custody, etc.):</p>	0
<p>Does the facility have a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology (e.g. cameras, etc.)?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<p>Has the facility installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology in the past 12 months?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Medical and Mental Health Services and Forensic Medical Exams

<p>Are medical services provided on-site?</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p>Are mental health services provided on-site?</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

<p>Where are sexual assault forensic medical exams provided? Select all that apply.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> On-site <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local hospital/clinic <input type="checkbox"/> Rape Crisis Center <input type="checkbox"/> Other (please name or describe: Click or tap here to enter text.)
<p>Investigations</p>	
<p>Criminal Investigations</p>	
<p>Number of investigators employed by the agency and/or facility who are responsible for conducting CRIMINAL investigations into allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment:</p>	<p>0</p>
<p>When the facility received allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment (whether staff-on-resident or resident-on-resident), CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS are conducted by: Select all that apply.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Facility investigators <input type="checkbox"/> Agency investigators <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> An external investigative entity
<p>Select all external entities responsible for CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS: Select all that apply (N/A if no external entities are responsible for criminal investigations)</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Local police department <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local sheriff's department <input type="checkbox"/> State police <input type="checkbox"/> A U.S. Department of Justice component <input type="checkbox"/> Other (please name or describe: Click or tap here to enter text.) <input type="checkbox"/> N/A
<p>Administrative Investigations</p>	
<p>Number of investigators employed by the agency and/or facility who are responsible for conducting ADMINISTRATIVE investigations into allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?</p>	<p>13</p>
<p>When the facility receives allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment (whether staff-on-resident or resident-on-resident), ADMINISTRATIVE INVESTIGATIONS are conducted by: <i>Select all that apply</i></p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility investigators <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Agency investigators <input type="checkbox"/> An external investigative entity
<p>Select all external entities responsible for ADMINISTRATIVE INVESTIGATIONS: Select all that apply (N/A if no external entities are responsible for administrative investigations)</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Local police department <input type="checkbox"/> Local sheriff's department <input type="checkbox"/> State police <input type="checkbox"/> A U.S. Department of Justice component <input type="checkbox"/> Other (please name or describe: Click or tap here to enter text.) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A

Audit Findings

Audit Narrative (including Audit Methodology)

The PREA onsite audit for Ridge View Youth Services Center in Watkins, Colorado was conducted July 13-14, 2020 by Elaine Brideschge, from Valley Farms, Arizona, a U.S. Department of Justice certified PREA Auditor for Juvenile Facilities through a contract with PREA Auditors of America. The purpose of the audit was to determine the degree of compliance with the Federal Rape Elimination Act (PREA) standards.

On May 28, 2020, approximately six weeks in advance of the onsite audit, the facility posted flyers provided by the auditor throughout the facility announcing the upcoming audit. The flyer explained the purpose of the audit and provided students and staff with the auditors contact information. The flyer was written in English and in Spanish. The facility dated the flyer with the date it was posted, and the auditor has photos of the displayed flyers. The flyers were displayed in multiple areas that were visible to students, staff, and visitors.

Pre-audit preparation included a thorough evaluation of all documentation and materials electronically submitted by the facility into the PREA Auditors of America Google drive folder, along with the supporting documentation included in the pre-audit questionnaire. The documentation reviewed include agency policies, procedures, forms, education materials, training curriculum and rosters, posters, brochures, and other relevant materials to determine compliance with the PREA standards. This review prompted questions and a request for additional documentation in the form of an issue log that was submitted to the PREA Coordinator and Compliance Manager for review and clarification. Responses were submitted to the auditor in a timely manner and prior to the onsite audit. Additional documentation was also submitted by the facility.

The onsite portion of the audit was conducted over a two-day period. The auditor held an entrance meeting to review the audit agenda and discuss the audit process with facility leadership. The auditor was provided a roster of current students and staff that were assigned to work that day. Rosters were utilized to select random staff and students to be interviewed.

Following the entrance meeting, an extensive facility tour was conducted which included observation of facility configuration, staff supervision of students, lobby, housing, intake, classrooms, medical, visitation areas, recreation areas, dining hall, kitchen, warehouse, and administration areas. The auditor was able to complete a tour checklist following the recommended tour guidelines. The auditor was able to view camera and mirror locations, showering areas, toilet facilities, and sleeping rooms. The auditor was able to informally talk to the students and staff. While on the tour, the auditor was permitted full access to all areas of the facility. Notices of the PREA audit was observed posted throughout the facility. The auditor was escorted by facility staff.

The auditor conducted interviews with facility leadership, staff, and students. The interviews were conducted consistent with Department of Justice PREA auditing expectations in content and approach utilizing the PREA Compliance Audit Instrument Interview Guides, (i.e. Program Director, PREA Coordinator, Compliance Manager, specialized staff, random staff, Medical and Mental Health staff, Human Resource staff, Investigators, and students). The auditor was able to ask additional questions to personnel and students to gain more information about certain practices of the facility. In addition, the auditor was able to verify through interviews specific protocols and clarify documentation submitted.

The students were selected randomly to interview by the auditor using a current roster of students. The auditor selected students from all occupied housing units or cottages, to include interviews with 20 random students

and 3 targeted students. This facility houses male students, ages ranging from 12-21 years of age. At the time of the onsite visit, there were 63 students listed on the daily roster. There were no students to interview that met the criteria for students who reported sexual abuse while at the facility, or students who are limited English proficient, gay, bisexual, transgender or intersex. As well, there were no students held in isolation.

Students were interviewed using the recommended DOJ PREA Compliance Audit Instrument Interview Guides that question their knowledge of a variety of PREA protections generally and specifically their knowledge of reporting mechanisms available to students to report abuse and harassment. The auditor was able to ask additional questions to students to gain more information about certain practices of the facility. In addition, the auditor was able to gather information through interviews regarding facility practices that occur in the environment.

Twelve random staff members were interviewed representing day and night shifts and 14 staff was interviewed in specialty areas. The auditor selected staff randomly and by specialty using a current staff roster and a completed specialty staff form. The auditor randomly selected staff per each shift, position assignment, and gender. Selected staff were interviewed using either random and specialty area interview questions. Staff were questioned using the recommended DOJ PREA Compliance Audit Instrument Interview Guides that question their PREA training and overall knowledge of the agency's zero tolerance policy, reporting mechanisms available to students and staff, the response protocols when a student alleges abuse, and first responder duties. Specialty interviews were comprised of a representation of staff who has acted as first responders, intake staff, and shift supervisors who conduct unannounced rounds. The auditor also interviewed specialty staff to include medical staff, mental health staff, human resources staff, staff that monitor retaliation, investigators, and staff who perform risk assessments. The Compliance Manager, PREA Coordinator, Program Director, and Sexual Abuse Response Team members were also interviewed. The facility's leadership accommodated the auditor's request to interview specific staff and arranged student supervision while staff were participating in the interview process.

Telephonic interviews were conducted with 2 volunteers, 1 contractor, The Blue Bench, and the Medical Center of Aurora.

While at the facility, the auditor reviewed 16 student case records randomly selected by the auditor utilizing a student roster provided to the auditor by the facility to evaluate screening and intake procedures, student education, and other general programmatic areas. The auditor randomly selected and reviewed 12 employee files and employee training logs to determine compliance with training mandates and background check procedures. The auditor also reviewed PREA training records for volunteers and contractors. The auditor reviewed one investigation file, which was over 12 months.

On the final day of the onsite audit, a debriefing was held with the facility's leadership staff. The purpose of the meeting was to summarize preliminary audit findings, next steps of the audit process, and to provide specific feedback to include strengths and areas of improvement as it relates to PREA standards.

Facility Characteristics

Ridge View has been in operation since 2001 and is operated by Rite of Passage. Ridge View Youth Services Center is a free roaming campus, modeled after a school campus with dormitory-style living units.

Ridge View’s 83-acre campus has a total of 16 buildings, seven of which are living units, with a capacity of 479 students. Currently, two dormitory living units are in use for students with a third being used for medical observed of intakes due to the COVID19 pandemic. Each dormitory has three wings. Each wing has six bedrooms housing up to four students. The dormitory living unit has a bubble in the center which can view all three wings. There is also one building used for staff only housing with no student access.

The campus has 8 other buildings, to include administration, student center, east and west school buildings, library, vocational building, gym, and a warehouse. Each building contains bathrooms for students to use. All bathrooms have individual stalls. Showers in the living units are private. The sleeping rooms are open for easy monitoring and do not have doors. When students are in the housing units, staff are stationed in the day room area for line of sight and sound and to monitor bathroom usage.

Ridge View is considered staff secure. The average length of stay for a student in this facility is 5.5 months with 292 students admitted during the past 12 months. The facility is equipped with five cameras strategically placed in areas that were deemed restricted or potential blind spot areas.

Summary of Audit Findings

Standards Exceeded

Number of Standards Exceeded: 7

List of Standards Exceeded: 115.313 115.316 115.333 115.341 115.367 115.386 115.388

Standards Met

Number of Standards Met: 36

Standards Not Met

Number of Standards Not Met: 0

List of Standards Not Met: N/A

PREVENTION PLANNING

Standard 115.311: Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator

115.311 (a)

- Does the agency have a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No
- Does the written policy outline the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No

115.311 (b)

- Has the agency employed or designated an agency-wide PREA Coordinator? Yes No
- Is the PREA Coordinator position in the upper-level of the agency hierarchy? Yes No
- Does the PREA Coordinator have sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its facilities? Yes No

115.311 (c)

- If this agency operates more than one facility, has each facility designated a PREA compliance manager? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.) Yes No NA
- Does the PREA compliance manager have sufficient time and authority to coordinate the facility's efforts to comply with the PREA standards? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.) Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The agency has a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in facilities it operates directly. The policy outlines how it will implement the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Definitions of prohibited behaviors regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment are included in the policy, as well as sanctions for those

found to have participated in prohibited behaviors and includes a description of agency strategies and responses to reduce and prevent sexual abuse and sexual harassment of students.

The agency employs an upper-level, agency-wide PREA Coordinator. The PREA Coordinator is listed as Karen Murray, and has sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its facilities. The position of the PREA Coordinator is in the agency's organizational structure and is listed as Regional Compliance Director. The facility has designated Kelly Turk as the PREA Compliance Manager. The PREA Compliance Manager has sufficient time and authority to coordinate the facility's efforts to comply with the PREA standards.

Interviews conducted with the PREA Coordinator and Compliance Manager indicate that they have enough time to manage all PREA-related responsibilities. Monthly meetings are held to discuss PREA compliance. Regular communication between the PREA Coordinator and Compliance Manager occur in person, email, video conferencing, and phone conversations.

Policy reviewed:

PREA Policy Statement 600.600

ROP Safe Environment Standards

Documentation/files reviewed:

Corp Organizational Chart

Regional Compliance director position description

Facility Organizational Chart

PREA Site Compliance Manager position description

Position Control Roster

ROP SES Zero Tolerance Acknowledgement form (signed)

Interviews conducted:

PREA Coordinator

Compliance Manager

Based on the evidence discussed, the facility has demonstrated compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.312: Contracting with other entities for the confinement of residents

115.312 (a)

- If this agency is public and it contracts for the confinement of its residents with private agencies or other entities including other government agencies, has the agency included the entity's obligation to adopt and comply with the PREA standards in any new contract or contract

renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of residents.) Yes No NA

115.312 (b)

- Does any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012 provide for agency contract monitoring to ensure that the contractor is complying with the PREA standards? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of residents.) Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The agency does not contract for the confinement of students therefore the facility meets this standard.

Based on the evidence discussed, the facility has demonstrated compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.313: Supervision and monitoring

115.313 (a)

- Does the facility have a documented staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect residents against sexual abuse?
 Yes No
- In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Generally accepted juvenile detention and correctional/secure residential practices? Yes No
- In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any judicial findings of inadequacy? Yes No
- In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any findings of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies? Yes No

- In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies? Yes No
- In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: All components of the facility's physical plant (including "blind-spots" or areas where staff or residents may be isolated)? Yes No
- In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The composition of the resident population? Yes No
- In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The number and placement of supervisory staff? Yes No
- In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Institution programs occurring on a particular shift? Yes No
- In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any applicable State or local laws, regulations, or standards? Yes No
- In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration the prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse? Yes No
- In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any other relevant factors? Yes No

115.313 (b)

- Does the agency comply with the staffing plan except during limited and discrete exigent circumstances? Yes No
- In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, does the facility document all deviations from the plan? (N/A if no deviations from staffing plan.) Yes No NA

115.313 (c)

- Does the facility maintain staff ratios of a minimum of 1:8 during resident waking hours, except during limited and discrete exigent circumstances? (N/A if the facility is not a secure juvenile facility per the PREA standards definition of "secure".) Yes No NA
- Does the facility maintain staff ratios of a minimum of 1:16 during resident sleeping hours, except during limited and discrete exigent circumstances? (N/A if the facility is not a secure juvenile facility per the PREA standards definition of "secure".) Yes No NA

- Does the facility fully document any limited and discrete exigent circumstances during which the facility did not maintain staff ratios? (N/A if the facility is not a secure juvenile facility per the PREA standards definition of “secure”.) Yes No NA
- Does the facility ensure only security staff are included when calculating these ratios? (N/A if the facility is not a secure juvenile facility per the PREA standards definition of “secure”.) Yes No NA
- Is the facility obligated by law, regulation, or judicial consent decree to maintain the staffing ratios set forth in this paragraph? Yes No

115.313 (d)

- In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The staffing plan established pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section? Yes No
- In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: Prevailing staffing patterns? Yes No
- In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The facility’s deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies? Yes No
- In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adherence to the staffing plan? Yes No

115.313 (e)

- Has the facility implemented a policy and practice of having intermediate-level or higher-level supervisors conduct and document unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A for non-secure facilities) Yes No NA
- Is this policy and practice implemented for night shifts as well as day shifts? (N/A for non-secure facilities) Yes No NA
- Does the facility have a policy prohibiting staff from alerting other staff members that these supervisory rounds are occurring, unless such announcement is related to the legitimate operational functions of the facility? (N/A for non-secure facilities) Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

Exceeds Standard (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)

- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The agency requires each facility it operates to develop, document, and make its best efforts to comply on a regular basis with a staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing, and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect students against abuse. The facility is obligated by law, regulation, or judicial consent decree to maintain staffing ratios of a minimum of 1:8 during student waking hours and 1:16 during student sleeping hours.

The facility has developed a staffing plan that is reviewed annually to see whether adjustments are needed to: (a) the staffing plan; (b) prevailing staffing patterns; (c) the deployment of monitoring technology; and (d) the allocation of agency or facility resources to commit to the staffing plan to ensure compliance with the staffing plan. The staffing plan is very detailed and thorough and is assessed by leadership at minimum annually. The plan is also included in budget review.

The facility requires that intermediate-level or higher-level staff conduct unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Administrative staff and supervisors also conduct unannounced rounds. The facility documents unannounced rounds and covers all shifts. The facility prohibits staff from alerting other staff of the conduct of such rounds. Rounds are conducted at random times throughout the shifts.

The facility has limited cameras (five total) and relies on direct staff supervision and clock and bell roll call throughout the day, with limited movement after 6:00 pm. All buildings are designed to allow line of site supervision with many large windows for easy viewing.

All staff interviewed stated that a staffing plan has been fully developed and annual reviews include a review of the facility staffing plan. Interviews were also conducted with staff responsible for conducting unannounced rounds. Rounds occur on every shift at random times. Rounds are documented on a facility developed form and reviewed by the compliance manager. Although the current population is relatively low, the facility continues to staff at higher levels of 98 students.

Policy reviewed:

ROP Safe Environment Standards

Documentation/Files reviewed:

Annual Review of Staffing Plan

Daily Site Unannounced Rounds

Daily Vulnerability Assessment

First quarter Vulnerability Assessment

Site Vulnerability Reporting Form example

Student List by Room Assignment

Interviews conducted:

Program Director

Compliance Manager

PREA Coordinator

Intermediate/higher level staff that conduct unannounced rounds

Based on evidence discussed, the facility has exceeded compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.315: Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches

115.315 (a)

- Does the facility always refrain from conducting any cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches, except in exigent circumstances or by medical practitioners?
 Yes No

115.315 (b)

- Does the facility always refrain from conducting cross-gender pat-down searches in non-exigent circumstances? Yes No NA

115.315 (c)

- Does the facility document and justify all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches? Yes No
- Does the facility document all cross-gender pat-down searches? Yes No

115.315 (d)

- Does the facility have policies that enable residents to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks? Yes No
- Does the facility have procedures that enable residents to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks? Yes No

- Does the facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering a resident housing unit? Yes No
- In facilities (such as group homes) that do not contain discrete housing units, does the facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an area where residents are likely to be showering, performing bodily functions, or changing clothing? (N/A for facilities with discrete housing units) Yes No NA

115.315 (e)

- Does the facility always refrain from searching or physically examining transgender or intersex residents for the sole purpose of determining the resident's genital status? Yes No
- If a resident's genital status is unknown, does the facility determine genital status during conversations with the resident, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner? Yes No

115.315 (f)

- Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct cross-gender pat down searches in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs? Yes No
- Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct searches of transgender and intersex residents in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The facility does not conduct cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches of students. The facility houses female students. The facility does not permit cross-gender pat-down searches of students, absent exigent circumstances. Facility policy requires that all cross-gender strip searches, cross-gender visual body cavity searches, and cross-gender pat-down searches be documented and justified.

The facility has implemented policies and procedures that enable students to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without non-medical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or

genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks (this includes viewing via video camera). Policies and procedures require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering a student housing unit/area where students are likely to be showering, performing bodily functions, or changing clothing.

The facility has a policy prohibiting staff from searching or physically examining a transgender or intersex student for the sole purpose of determining the student's genital status.

During the facility tour, the auditor did not observe any cross-gender searches. The auditor did observe staff of the opposite gender announcing their presence when entering a student housing unit.

At time of audit, the facility did not have any students that were transgender or intersex. Interviews conducted with staff indicate staff have received training in cross gender pat searches. Staff also stated that only in exigent circumstances would a cross gender pat search occur. Staff would not physically examine a transgender for the sole purpose of determining a student's genital status. Staff stated that they announce their presence when entering a unit and that students are allowed to dress, shower, and use the toilet without being viewed.

All students reported during interview that staff announce their presence when entering a unit and they understood the reason why they did so.

Policy reviewed:

ROP Safe Environment Standards

Physical Searches and Viewing of Persons Policy 600.123

Documentation/files reviewed:

Staff training sign in sheets

PREA Staff Training lesson plan/curriculum

Interviews conducted:

Random staff

Random students

Based on the evidence discussed, the facility has demonstrated compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.316: Residents with disabilities and residents who are limited English proficient

115.316 (a)

- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who are deaf or hard of hearing? Yes No

- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who are blind or have low vision? Yes No
- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who have intellectual disabilities? Yes No
- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who have psychiatric disabilities? Yes No
- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who have speech disabilities? Yes No
- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Other? (if "other," please explain in overall determination notes.) Yes No
- Do such steps include, when necessary, ensuring effective communication with residents who are deaf or hard of hearing? Yes No
- Do such steps include, when necessary, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary? Yes No
- Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Have intellectual disabilities? Yes No
- Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Have limited reading skills? Yes No
- Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Are blind or have low vision? Yes No

115.316 (b)

- Does the agency take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to residents who are limited English proficient? Yes No
- Do these steps include providing interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary? Yes No

115.316 (c)

- Does the agency always refrain from relying on resident interpreters, resident readers, or other types of resident assistants except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the resident's safety, the performance of first-response duties under §115.364, or the investigation of the resident's allegations? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The agency has established procedures to provide disabled students equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The agency has established procedures to provide students with limited English proficiency equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Agency has a policy that prohibits use of student interpreters, student readers, or other types of student assistants except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the student's safety, the performance of first-response duties under §115.364, or the investigation of the student's allegations.

Interviews with students who identified with disabilities or Limited English Proficient stated that the facility provided them with information about sexual abuse and sexual harassment that they were able to understand. Information was received through posters on walls in English and Spanish, and a video that is shown to them weekly. Students stated that the facility provides someone to help them read, write, speak, and explain things to them if they need help.

Random staff were interviewed. All staff stated that the agency does not allow use of student interpreters, student readers, or other types of student assistants to assist disabled students or students with limited English proficiency when making an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment.

During a tour of the facility, the auditor verified that signs throughout the facility are in English and Spanish. Bilingual staff are available as well as a contracted language service. Handicapped bathrooms for students with a disability are available.

Policy reviewed:

ROP Safe Environment Standards

Documentation/files reviewed:

Language Line Review of Services

ROP Spanish Student Brochure

ROP SES Lesson Plan and power point

Training Attendance Rosters

Third Party Reporting form – Spanish

Acknowledgement Form – Spanish

PREA Signage – Spanish

Spanish Speaking Staff List

Interviews conducted:

Random staff

Students who are disabled and limited English proficient

Based on the evidence discussed, the facility has exceeded compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.317: Hiring and promotion decisions

115.317 (a)

- Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents who: Has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)? Yes No
- Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents who: Has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? Yes No
- Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents who: Has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the question immediately above? Yes No

- Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: Has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)?
 Yes No
- Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: Has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? Yes No
- Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: Has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the question immediately above? Yes No

115.317 (b)

- Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone who may have contact with residents? Yes No
- Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to enlist the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents? Yes No

115.317 (c)

- Before hiring new employees, who may have contact with residents, does the agency perform a criminal background records check? Yes No
- Before hiring new employees, who may have contact with residents, does the agency consult any child abuse registry maintained by the State or locality in which the employee would work?
 Yes No
- Before hiring new employees who may have contact with residents, does the agency, consistent with Federal, State, and local law, make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse? Yes No

115.317 (d)

- Does the agency perform a criminal background records check before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents? Yes No
- Does the agency consult applicable child abuse registries before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents? Yes No

115.317 (e)

- Does the agency either conduct criminal background records checks at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with residents or have in place a system for otherwise capturing such information for current employees? Yes No

115.317 (f)

- Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with residents directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions? Yes No
- Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with residents directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current employees? Yes No
- Does the agency impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct? Yes No

115.317 (g)

- Does the agency consider material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, grounds for termination? Yes No

115.317 (h)

- Does the agency provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work? (N/A if providing information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee is prohibited by law.) Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

Agency policy prohibits hiring or promoting anyone who may have contact with students, and prohibits enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with students, who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997); has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or

refuse; or has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in paragraph (a)(2) of this section.

The policy requires the consideration of any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone, or to enlist the services of any contractor, who may have contact with students. Agency policy requires that before it hires any new employees who may have contact with students, it (a) conducts criminal background record checks; (b) consults any child abuse registry maintained by the State or locality in which the employee would work; and (c) consistent with Federal, State, and local law, makes its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse.

The policy also requires that a criminal background records check be completed, and applicable child abuse registries consulted before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with students. Agency policy requires that either criminal background record checks be conducted at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with students or that a system is in place for otherwise capturing such information for current employees.

Agency policy states that material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, shall be grounds for termination. The agency provides information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work.

The auditor reviewed employee personnel files and found all files to contain the required criminal history check, child abuse registry check, administrative adjudication check (questions on employment application), institutional reference check, if applicable, PREA training documentation and acknowledgement form, and PREA refresher training, if applicable.

During an interview with human resources staff, the human resource department conducts all employee recruitment and background checks. As well, the department maintains all employee files. When conducting background checks on contractors, the agency makes every attempt to complete the background. The agency asks all applicants and employees who may have contact with students directly about previous misconduct in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions, or in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current employees. The agency also imposes upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct.

Policy reviewed:

ROP Safe Environment Standards

Background Record Clearance 100.209

Employee References and Information Request 100.205

Documentation/files reviewed:

PREA Attestation form

ROP PREA Verification Internal Applicant Promotion form

Reference Verification Form

Background Check - examples

New employee file review

Employee file review for 5 yr intervals

Contractor file review

Interviews conducted:

Human Resources staff

Based on the evidence discussed, the facility has demonstrated compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.318: Upgrades to facilities and technologies

115.318 (a)

- If the agency designed or acquired any new facility or planned any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, did the agency consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the agency's ability to protect residents from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion to existing facilities since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)
 Yes No NA

115.318 (b)

- If the agency installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, did the agency consider how such technology may enhance the agency's ability to protect residents from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)
 Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The facility has not made a substantial modification to existing facilities since the previous audit or installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology.

Interviews conducted reveal that a constant visual of students is essential as well as the placement of the cameras and monitors. Cameras are located in limited areas as well as mirrors. There were no blind spots were observed during the tour of the facility. The front desk is staffed 24/7 and staff monitor the cameras. Limited video surveillance cameras and monitors were observed during the tour of the facility. Cameras were not observed in the sleeping rooms, showers, or restroom areas.

Policy reviewed:

ROP Safe Environment Standards

Documents/files reviewed:

Camera location list

Interviews conducted:

Agency Head

Program Director

Based on the evidence discussed, the facility has demonstrated compliance with this standard.

RESPONSIVE PLANNING

Standard 115.321: Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations

115.321 (a)

- If the agency is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, does the agency follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)
 Yes No NA

115.321 (b)

- Is this protocol developmentally appropriate for youth where applicable? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) Yes No NA
- Is this protocol, as appropriate, adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice's Office on Violence Against Women publication, "A National

Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents,” or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) Yes No NA

115.321 (c)

- Does the agency offer all residents who experience sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations, whether on-site or at an outside facility, without financial cost, where evidentiarily or medically appropriate? Yes No
- Are such examinations performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible? Yes No
- If SAFEs or SANEs cannot be made available, is the examination performed by other qualified medical practitioners (they must have been specifically trained to conduct sexual assault forensic exams)? Yes No
- Has the agency documented its efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs? Yes No

115.321 (d)

- Does the agency attempt to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center? Yes No
- If a rape crisis center is not available to provide victim advocate services, does the agency make available to provide these services a qualified staff member from a community-based organization, or a qualified agency staff member? (N/A if the agency *always* makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.) Yes No NA
- Has the agency documented its efforts to secure services from rape crisis centers? Yes No

115.321 (e)

- As requested by the victim, does the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews? Yes No
- As requested by the victim, does this person provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals? Yes No

115.321 (f)

- If the agency itself is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, has the agency requested that the investigating agency follow the requirements of paragraphs (a) through (e) of this section? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting criminal AND administrative sexual abuse investigations.) Yes No NA

115.321 (g)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

115.321 (h)

- If the agency uses a qualified agency staff member or a qualified community-based staff member for the purposes of this section, has the individual been screened for appropriateness to serve in this role and received education concerning sexual assault and forensic examination issues in general? (N/A if agency *always* makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.) Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The facility is responsible for conducting administrative sexual abuse investigations (including student-on-student sexual abuse or staff sexual misconduct). The facility is not responsible for conducting criminal sexual abuse investigations (including student-on-student sexual abuse or staff sexual misconduct). Such allegations will be referred to the Arapahoe County Sheriff Department. When conducting a sexual abuse investigation, the agency investigators follow a uniform evidence protocol. The protocol is developmentally appropriate for youth and was adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the DOJ's Office on Violence Against Women publication, "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents," or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011.

The facility offers all students who experience sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations at an outside facility. Forensic medical examinations are offered without financial cost to the victim. Where possible, examinations are conducted by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) at the Children's Hospital, Denver Health, and the Medical Center of Aurora. When SANEs or SAFEs are not available, a qualified medical practitioner performs forensic medical examinations. The facility has documented its efforts to provide a SANE or SAFE and has an MOU with the forensic evaluation provider. The facility has a MOU in place to provide a victim advocate from a rape crisis center to the victim, in person or by other means. The MOU is with Blue Bench, which serves as a community-based organization and has multiple advocates available.

If requested by the victim, a victim advocate, or qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member accompanies and supports the victim through the forensic medical examination

process and investigatory interviews and provides emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals.

The agency is responsible for investigating administrative allegations of sexual abuse and relies on another agency to conduct criminal investigations.

At time of audit, there were no students who reported sexual abuse.

Interviews conducted revealed that the local victim advocacy agency is utilized for advocacy. Staff are available to provide victim advocacy as needed. Each student has an assigned therapist. Staff are aware of the facility protocol for obtaining usable physical evidence if a student alleges sexual abuse.

Policy reviewed:

ROP Safe Environment Standards

Documentation/Files reviewed:

National Protocol for Sexual Assault

ROP SES Student Services Offered Acknowledgement

MOU - Blue Bench

MOU - Arapahoe County Sheriff Department

The Children's Hospital – documentation of correspondence

Denver Health – documentation of correspondence

Medical Center of Aurora – documentation of correspondence

PREA Student Brochure

Interviews conducted:

Random staff

Blue Bench (telephonic)

Medical Center of Aurora (telephonic)

Compliance Manager

Based on evidence discussed, the facility has demonstrated compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.322: Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations

115.322 (a)

- Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse? Yes No
- Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual harassment? Yes No

115.322 (b)

- Does the agency have a policy and practice in place to ensure that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior? Yes No
- Has the agency published such policy on its website or, if it does not have one, made the policy available through other means? Yes No
- Does the agency document all such referrals? Yes No

115.322 (c)

- If a separate entity is responsible for conducting criminal investigations, does the policy describe the responsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for criminal investigations. See 115.321(a).) Yes No NA

115.322 (d)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

115.322 (e)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The agency ensures that an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The agency has a policy that requires allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment be referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, including the agency if it conducts its own investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially

criminal behavior. The agency’s policy regarding the referral of allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment for a criminal investigation is published on the agency website. The agency documents all referrals of allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment for criminal investigation.

Investigation file was reviewed. File contained documentation of the incident referred for investigation and other supporting documents.

Interviews conducted reveal that the Arapahoe County Sheriff’s Office conducts all criminal investigations. The Arapahoe County Sheriff’s Office has specialized deputies trained to conduct such investigations. The compliance manager remains in contact with this agency and maintains regular communication to receive updates and reports of progress. Staff understand that victim notification is to occur when the investigation has been substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded.

Policy reviewed:

ROP Safe Environment Standards

Documentation/Files reviewed:

Website review

MOU – Arapahoe County Sheriff Department

Investigation file review

Interviews conducted:

Agency Head

Investigative staff

Based on the evidence discussed, the facility has demonstrated compliance with this standard.

TRAINING AND EDUCATION

Standard 115.331: Employee training

115.331 (a)

- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on how to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures? Yes No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on residents’ right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment Yes No

- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on the right of residents and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on the dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in juvenile facilities? Yes No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on the common reactions of juvenile victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on how to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse and how to distinguish between consensual sexual contact and sexual abuse between residents? Yes No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on how to avoid inappropriate relationships with residents? Yes No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on how to communicate effectively and professionally with residents, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming residents? Yes No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on how to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities? Yes No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on relevant laws regarding the applicable age of consent? Yes No

115.331 (b)

- Is such training tailored to the unique needs and attributes of residents of juvenile facilities? Yes No
- Is such training tailored to the gender of the residents at the employee's facility? Yes No
- Have employees received additional training if reassigned from a facility that houses only male residents to a facility that houses only female residents, or vice versa? Yes No

115.331 (c)

- Have all current employees who may have contact with residents received such training? Yes No
- Does the agency provide each employee with refresher training every two years to ensure that all employees know the agency's current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures? Yes No

- In years in which an employee does not receive refresher training, does the agency provide refresher information on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies? Yes No

115.331 (d)

- Does the agency document, through employee signature or electronic verification, that employees understand the training they have received? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The agency trains all employees who may have contact with students on:

1. the agency's zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment.
2. how to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response Policy and procedures.
3. the right of students to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment.
4. the right of students and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment.
5. the dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in juvenile facilities.
6. the common reactions of juvenile victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.
7. how to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse and how to distinguish between consensual sexual contact and sexual abuse between students.
8. how to avoid inappropriate relationships with students.
9. how to communicate effectively and professionally with students, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender-nonconforming students.
10. how to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities.
11. relevant laws regarding the applicable age of consent.

Upon review of the curriculum, the auditor found that the training is tailored to the unique needs and attributes and gender of the students at the facility. Employees who are reassigned from facilities housing the opposite gender are given additional training.

Between training, the agency provides employees who may have contact with students with refresher information about current policies regarding sexual abuse and harassment. Employees who may have contact with students receive refresher training on PREA requirements every six months. The agency documents that

employees who may have contact with students understand the training they have received through employee signature. The auditor was able to verify staff training through employee file review.

Staff indicated during interview that they received training in the eleven areas identified in this standard as well as receiving booster training every six months.

Policy reviewed:

ROP Safe Environment Standards

Documentation/files reviewed:

PREA lesson plan

MOU - Arapahoe County Sheriff Department

Zero Tolerance Policy Acknowledgement forms (signed)

PREA Training Attendance Rosters

Staff Training Refresher documentation

Employee File Review

Interviews conducted:

Random staff

Based on the evidence discussed, the facility has demonstrated compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.332: Volunteer and contractor training

115.332 (a)

- Has the agency ensured that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with residents have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures? Yes No

115.332 (b)

- Have all volunteers and contractors who have contact with residents been notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents (the level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with residents)? Yes No

115.332 (c)

- Does the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

All volunteers and contractors who have contact with students have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's policies and procedures regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response.

The level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors are based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with students. All volunteers and contractors who have contact with students have been notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents.

Telephonic interviews were conducted with two volunteers and one contractor. All parties interviewed stated that they received appropriate training on the agency's zero tolerance policy and on their responsibility regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response. They also stated that they were informed about how to report such incidents.

Policy reviewed:

ROP Safe Environment Standards

Documentation/files reviewed:

V/C training Curriculum and Lesson Plan

V/C Signature/Zero Tolerance Acknowledgement forms

Volunteer and Contractor File Review

Interviews conducted:

Volunteers

Contractors

Based on the evidence discussed, the facility has demonstrated compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.333: Resident education

115.333 (a)

- During intake, do residents receive information explaining the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No
- During intake, do residents receive information explaining how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment? Yes No
- Is this information presented in an age-appropriate fashion? Yes No

115.333 (b)

- Within 10 days of intake, does the agency provide age-appropriate comprehensive education to residents either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No
- Within 10 days of intake, does the agency provide age-appropriate comprehensive education to residents either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents? Yes No
- Within 10 days of intake, does the agency provide age-appropriate comprehensive education to residents either in person or through video regarding: Agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents? Yes No

115.333 (c)

- Have all residents received the comprehensive education referenced in 115.333(b)? Yes No
- Do residents receive education upon transfer to a different facility to the extent that the policies and procedures of the resident's new facility differ from those of the previous facility? Yes No

115.333 (d)

- Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents including those who: Are limited English proficient? Yes No
- Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents including those who: Are deaf? Yes No
- Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents including those who: Are visually impaired? Yes No
- Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents including those who: Are otherwise disabled? Yes No
- Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents including those who: Have limited reading skills? Yes No

115.333 (e)

- Does the agency maintain documentation of resident participation in these education sessions?
 Yes No

115.333 (f)

- In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to residents through posters, resident handbooks, or other written formats? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

Agency policy requires that students who are transferred from one facility to another be educated regarding their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment and to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents, and regarding agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents to the extent that the policies and procedures of the new facility differ from those of the previous facility.

Student PREA education is available in formats accessible to all students, including those who are limited English proficient, who are deaf, who are visually impaired, who are otherwise disabled, and who have limited reading skills. The agency maintains documentation of student participation in PREA education sessions. The PREA video is shown to students on a regular basis in which students sign an acknowledgement form.

The agency ensures that key information about the agency's PREA policies is continuously and readily available or visible through posters, student handbooks, or other written formats. During the tour of the facility, the auditor verified that student education and posters were displayed, and handbooks were available. Numerous PREA posters were visible throughout the facility.

Through a review of student files, the auditor verified that students receive information at time of intake about the zero-tolerance policy and how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment.

Intake staff and students were interviewed. Staff stated that students are provided information at time of intake on the agency's zero tolerance policy. Students are given a handbook and shown a PREA video. Students can choose to keep the handbook in their possession. Students also sign a document acknowledging that training was received. Students stated that they received training on PREA at intake and understand the information received.

Policy reviewed:

ROP Safe Environment Standards

Documentation/files reviewed:

Admissions Data

Master Student manual

Student File Review

Student Acknowledgement of Zero Tolerance Policy form

Student Additional Education Acknowledgement form – English and Spanish

ROP PREA Educational Videos/SES Additional Training rosters

PREA Student Handbook and Brochure

Third Party Reporting Poster

SES “A Student’s Guide...” English and Spanish

Interviews conducted:

Intake staff

Random students

Based on the evidence discussed, the facility has exceeded compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.334: Specialized training: Investigations

115.334 (a)

- In addition to the general training provided to all employees pursuant to §115.331, does the agency ensure that, to the extent the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its investigators have received training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.321(a).)

Yes No NA

115.334 (b)

- Does this specialized training include techniques for interviewing juvenile sexual abuse victims? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.321(a).) Yes No NA
- Does this specialized training include proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.321(a).) Yes No NA

- Does this specialized training include sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.321(a).) Yes No NA
- Does this specialized training include the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.321(a).) Yes No NA

115.334 (c)

- Does the agency maintain documentation that agency investigators have completed the required specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.321(a).) Yes No NA

115.334 (d)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

Agency policy requires that investigators are trained in conducting sexual abuse investigations in confinement settings. The agency maintains documentation showing that investigators have completed the required training.

Interview with Investigative staff reveal that specialized training was received through National PREA Resource Center. It was delivered in a video format. The auditor was able to verify training through certificates of completion.

Policy reviewed:

ROP Safe Environment Standards

Documentation/files reviewed:

Specialized Training Curriculum (NIC online)

Training records/certificates

ROP SES/PREA Specialized Investigation Knowledge Assessment

Staff File Review

Interviews conducted:

Investigative staff

Based on the evidence discussed, the facility has demonstrated compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.335: Specialized training: Medical and mental health care

115.335 (a)

- Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)
 Yes No NA
- Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) Yes No NA
- Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to respond effectively and professionally to juvenile victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) Yes No NA
- Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)
 Yes No NA

115.335 (b)

- If medical staff employed by the agency conduct forensic examinations, do such medical staff receive appropriate training to conduct such examinations? (N/A if agency medical staff at the facility do not conduct forensic exams *or* the agency does not employ medical staff.)
 Yes No NA

115.335 (c)

- Does the agency maintain documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have received the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) Yes No NA

115.335 (d)

- Do medical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive training mandated for employees by §115.331? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)
 Yes No NA

- Do medical and mental health care practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency also receive training mandated for contractors and volunteers by §115.332? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency.) Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The agency has a policy related to the training of medical and mental health practitioners who work regularly in its facilities. Agency medical staff at this facility do not conduct forensic medical exams.

The auditor was able to verify through file review that the agency maintains documentation showing that medical and mental health practitioners have completed the required training. Exams are conducted off site by The Medical Center of Aurora, Denver Health, or Children’s Hospital.

Interviews with medical and mental health staff verified that they do not conduct forensic examinations. They also confirm that specialized training was received. The auditor was able to verify training through review of certificates of completion.

Policy reviewed:

ROP Safe Environment Standards

Documentation/files reviewed:

Specialized training curriculum

Training records/certificates

Training rosters from 2018, 2019, and 2020

Interviews conducted:

Medical/Mental Health staff

Based on the evidence discussed, the facility has demonstrated compliance with this standard.

SCREENING FOR RISK OF SEXUAL VICTIMIZATION AND ABUSIVENESS

Standard 115.341: Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness

115.341 (a)

- Within 72 hours of the resident's arrival at the facility, does the agency obtain and use information about each resident's personal history and behavior to reduce risk of sexual abuse by or upon a resident? Yes No
- Does the agency also obtain this information periodically throughout a resident's confinement? Yes No

115.341 (b)

- Are all PREA screening assessments conducted using an objective screening instrument? Yes No

115.341 (c)

- During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: (1) Prior sexual victimization or abusiveness? Yes No
- During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: (2) Any gender nonconforming appearance or manner or identification as lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex, and whether the resident may therefore be vulnerable to sexual abuse? Yes No
- During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: (3) Current charges and offense history? Yes No
- During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: (4) Age? Yes No
- During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: (5) Level of emotional and cognitive development? Yes No
- During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: (6) Physical size and stature? Yes No
- During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: (7) Mental illness or mental disabilities? Yes No

- During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: (8) Intellectual or developmental disabilities? Yes No
- During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: (9) Physical disabilities? Yes No
- During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: (10) The residents' own perception of vulnerability? Yes No
- During these PREA screening assessments, at a minimum, does the agency attempt to ascertain information about: (11) Any other specific information about individual residents that may indicate heightened needs for supervision, additional safety precautions, or separation from certain other residents? Yes No

115.341 (d)

- Is this information ascertained through conversations with the resident during the intake process and medical mental health screenings? Yes No
- Is this information ascertained during classification assessments? Yes No
- Is this information ascertained by reviewing court records, case files, facility behavioral records, and other relevant documentation from the resident's files? Yes No

115.341 (e)

- Has the agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the resident's detriment by staff or other residents? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The agency has a policy that requires screening (upon admission to a facility or transfer to another facility) for risk of sexual abuse victimization or sexual abusiveness toward other students. The policy requires that students be screened for risk of sexual victimization or risk of sexually abusing other students within 72 hours of their

intake and the policy requires that the student's risk level be reassessed periodically throughout their confinement.

Through a review of student files, the auditor acknowledged that risk assessments are conducted using an objective screening instrument. The risk screening tool meets the criteria 1-11 as specified in this standard. All files reviewed had risk assessments completed on the first day of intake. Follow up meeting documentation with medical and/or mental health staff are maintained electronically in a data base. Reassessments are maintained in a separate file.

Interviews conducted with staff who administer the risk screening stated that students are screened upon admission, usually within one hour of arrival, and no later than 72 hours. The tool considers all factors identified in this standard. Screenings are completed individually and verbally with students.

All students interviewed reported that they received a risk screening upon admission to the facility and recall being asked questions like whether they have ever been sexually abused, identify as being gay, bisexual, transgender, have a disability, or think that they may be in danger of sexual abuse at the facility.

The PREA coordinator and compliance manager stated that the agency has outlined who should have access to a student's risk assessment within the facility to protect sensitive information from exploitation and determined that intake staff, program managers, and therapeutic staff have the information. All other staff are on a need to know basis and must seek supervisor approval to receive the information. The need must be demonstrated.

Policy reviewed:

ROP Safe Environment Standards

Documentation/files reviewed:

PREA Student Vulnerability Assessment

Admissions Data

Student File Review

Interviews conducted:

Staff responsible for conducting risk screening

Students

PREA Coordinator

Compliance Manager

Based on the evidence discussed, the facility has exceeded compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.342: Use of screening information

115.342 (a)

- Does the agency use all of the information obtained pursuant to § 115.341 and subsequently, with the goal of keeping all residents safe and free from sexual abuse, to make: Housing Assignments? Yes No
- Does the agency use all of the information obtained pursuant to § 115.341 and subsequently, with the goal of keeping all residents safe and free from sexual abuse, to make: Bed assignments? Yes No
- Does the agency use all of the information obtained pursuant to § 115.341 and subsequently, with the goal of keeping all residents safe and free from sexual abuse, to make: Work Assignments? Yes No
- Does the agency use all of the information obtained pursuant to § 115.341 and subsequently, with the goal of keeping all residents safe and free from sexual abuse, to make: Education Assignments? Yes No
- Does the agency use all of the information obtained pursuant to § 115.341 and subsequently, with the goal of keeping all residents safe and free from sexual abuse, to make: Program Assignments? Yes No

115.342 (b)

- Are residents isolated from others only as a last resort when less restrictive measures are inadequate to keep them and other residents safe, and then only until an alternative means of keeping all residents safe can be arranged? (N/A if the facility *never* places residents in isolation for any reason.) Yes No NA
- During any period of isolation, does the agency always refrain from denying residents daily large-muscle exercise? (N/A if the facility *never* places residents in isolation for any reason.) Yes No NA
- During any period of isolation, does the agency always refrain from denying residents any legally required educational programming or special education services? (N/A if the facility *never* places residents in isolation for any reason.) Yes No NA
- Do residents in isolation receive daily visits from a medical or mental health care clinician? (N/A if the facility *never* places residents in isolation for any reason.) Yes No NA
- Do residents in isolation also have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent possible? (N/A if the facility *never* places residents in isolation for any reason.) Yes No NA

115.342 (c)

- Does the agency always refrain from placing lesbian, gay, and bisexual (LGB) residents in particular housing, bed, or other assignments solely on the basis of such identification or status? Yes No

- Does the agency always refrain from placing transgender residents in particular housing, bed, or other assignments solely on the basis of such identification or status? Yes No
- Does the agency always refrain from placing intersex residents in particular housing, bed, or other assignments solely on the basis of such identification or status? Yes No
- Does the agency always refrain from considering lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex (LGBTI) identification or status as an indicator or likelihood of being sexually abusive? Yes No

115.342 (d)

- When deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex resident to a facility for male or female residents, does the agency consider, on a case-by-case basis, whether a placement would ensure the resident's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems (NOTE: if an agency by policy or practice assigns residents to a male or female facility on the basis of anatomy alone, that agency is not in compliance with this standard)? Yes No
- When making housing or other program assignments for transgender or intersex residents, does the agency consider, on a case-by-case basis, whether a placement would ensure the resident's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems? Yes No

115.342 (e)

- Are placement and programming assignments for each transgender or intersex resident reassessed at least twice each year to review any threats to safety experienced by the resident? Yes No

115.342 (f)

- Are each transgender or intersex resident's own views with respect to his or her own safety given serious consideration when making facility and housing placement decisions and programming assignments? Yes No

115.342 (g)

- Are transgender and intersex residents given the opportunity to shower separately from other residents? Yes No

115.342 (h)

- If a resident is isolated pursuant to provision (b) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The basis for the facility's concern for the resident's safety? (N/A if the facility *never* places residents in isolation for any reason.) Yes No NA

- If a resident is isolated pursuant to provision (b) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The reason why no alternative means of separation can be arranged? (N/A if the facility *never* places residents in isolation for any reason.) Yes No NA

115.342 (i)

- In the case of each resident who is isolated as a last resort when less restrictive measures are inadequate to keep them and other residents safe, does the facility afford a review to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population EVERY 30 DAYS? (N/A if the facility *never* places residents in isolation for any reason.)
 Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The facility uses information from the risk screening to inform housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments with the goal of keeping all students safe and free from sexual abuse. The facility policy states that students at risk of sexual victimization may only be placed in isolation as a last resort if less restrictive measures are inadequate to keep them and other students safe, and only until an alternative means of keeping all students safe can be arranged.

The observation and interview, the auditor verified that the facility prohibits placing lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex students in particular housing, bed, or other assignments solely on the basis of such identification or status, and that the facility prohibits considering lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification or status as an indicator of likelihood of being sexually abusive. During the tour of the facility, the auditor did not observe any isolation areas. The auditor did observe showering and toileting areas that are single use, made private by use of a closed door.

The facility makes housing and program assignments for transgender or intersex students on a case-by-case basis. If a student at risk of sexual victimization is held in isolation, the facility affords each such student a review every 30 days to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population.

Interviews revealed that the facility uses information from risk screenings to keep students safe and free from sexual abuse. All students interviewed stated that they feel safe in the facility. The facility does not house LGBTI students in special housing but does provide a single cell/sleeping room to keep students safe, should the need arise. A follow up meeting with therapists and medical will be provided to students that has experienced prior

sexual victimization or has previously perpetrated sexual abuse. Staff stated that isolation is not used in the facility.

At time of audit, there were not any transgender students admitted to the facility to interview.

Policy reviewed:

ROP Safe Environment Standards

Documentation/files reviewed:

Vulnerability Assessment Instrument

Vulnerability Assessment Communication documentation

Bed Rosters

Interviews:

Compliance Manager

PREA Coordinator

Staff responsible for conducting risk screening

Program Director

Medical and Mental Health staff

Based on the evidence discussed, the facility has demonstrated compliance with this standard.

REPORTING

Standard 115.351: Resident reporting

115.351 (a)

- Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for residents to privately report: Sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No
- Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for residents to privately report: Retaliation by other residents or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No
- Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for residents to privately report: Staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents? Yes No

115.351 (b)

- Does the agency also provide at least one way for residents to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency? Yes No
- Is that private entity or office able to receive and immediately forward resident reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to agency officials? Yes No
- Does that private entity or office allow the resident to remain anonymous upon request? Yes No
- Are residents detained solely for civil immigration purposes provided information on how to contact relevant consular officials and relevant officials at the Department of Homeland Security to report sexual abuse or harassment? (N/A if the facility *never* houses residents detained solely for civil immigration purposes.) Yes No NA

115.351 (c)

- Do staff members accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties? Yes No
- Do staff members promptly document any verbal reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No

115.351 (d)

- Does the facility provide residents with access to tools necessary to make a written report? Yes No
- Does the agency provide a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of residents? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The agency has established procedures allowing for multiple internal ways for students to report privately to agency officials about sexual abuse and sexual harassment; retaliation by other students or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment; and staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents. The agency provides at least one way for students to report abuse or harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency. The agency policy mandates that staff accept reports of

sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously and from third parties. Staff are required to document verbal reports on an incident report form. The agency has established procedures for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of students. Staff can speak to a supervisor privately or call the hotline number. Staff are informed of these procedures through the ROP Safe Environment Standards, staff training, and regular meetings.

The facility provides students with access to tools to make written reports of sexual abuse or sexual harassment, retaliation by other students or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment, and staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents. During the tour of the facility, the auditor observed posters throughout with phone numbers to contact, as well as grievance boxes and grievance forms that students can use. The auditor also observed grievance forms in the living units and phones in classrooms. Visiting family and attorneys can meet privately with students.

No students who reported sexual abuse were detained at time of audit.

Interviews conducted state that students can privately report sexual abuse or sexual harassment, retaliation, or staff neglect. Students can report to a staff member, therapist, parent, attorney, or in writing by submitting a note, sick slip, or a grievance, and by calling the hotline number posted within the facility. Phones are available for student access.

Policy reviewed:

ROP Safe Environment Standards

Incident Reporting Policy 600.364

Student Problem Solving and Grievance Policy 600.402

DYS Policy 9.17 Reporting Alleged Child Abuse

Documentation/files reviewed:

ROP/SES PREA Training Lesson Plan

Student Brochure

Photos of Postings

SES "A Student's Guide..."

Reporting Posters

Email Correspondence

Student Grievance Form

File review of a Third-Party Report

Child Abuse Reporting Agreement for blue phone systems (hotline)

Contract Provider Incident Report form

ROP Incident Reporting form

Sexual Contact Survey DYC

Interviews conducted:

Compliance Manager

Random staff

Random students

Based on the evidence discussed, the facility has demonstrated compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.352: Exhaustion of administrative remedies

115.352 (a)

- Is the agency exempt from this standard? NOTE: The agency is exempt ONLY if it does not have administrative procedures to address resident grievances regarding sexual abuse. This does not mean the agency is exempt simply because a resident does not have to or is not ordinarily expected to submit a grievance to report sexual abuse. This means that as a matter of explicit policy, the agency does not have an administrative remedies process to address sexual abuse. Yes No

115.352 (b)

- Does the agency permit residents to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse without any type of time limits? (The agency may apply otherwise-applicable time limits to any portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA
- Does the agency always refrain from requiring a resident to use any informal grievance process, or to otherwise attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA

115.352 (c)

- Does the agency ensure that: A resident who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA
- Does the agency ensure that: Such grievance is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA

115.352 (d)

- Does the agency issue a final agency decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance? (Computation of the 90-day time period does not include time consumed by residents in preparing any administrative appeal.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA
- If the agency determines that the 90-day timeframe is insufficient to make an appropriate decision and claims an extension of time [the maximum allowable extension of time to respond is 70 days per 115.352(d)(3)], does the agency notify the resident in writing of any such extension and provide a date by which a decision will be made? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA
- At any level of the administrative process, including the final level, if the resident does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, may a resident consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA

115.352 (e)

- Are third parties, including fellow residents, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, permitted to assist residents in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA
- Are those third parties also permitted to file such requests on behalf of residents? (If a third party, other than a parent or legal guardian, files such a request on behalf of a resident, the facility may require as a condition of processing the request that the alleged victim agree to have the request filed on his or her behalf, and may also require the alleged victim to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the administrative remedy process.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA
- If the resident declines to have the request processed on his or her behalf, does the agency document the resident's decision? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA
- Is a parent or legal guardian of a juvenile allowed to file a grievance regarding allegations of sexual abuse, including appeals, on behalf of such juvenile? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA
- If a parent or legal guardian of a juvenile files a grievance (or an appeal) on behalf of a juvenile regarding allegations of sexual abuse, is it the case that those grievances are not conditioned upon the juvenile agreeing to have the request filed on his or her behalf? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA

115.352 (f)

- Has the agency established procedures for the filing of an emergency grievance alleging that a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA

- After receiving an emergency grievance alleging a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does the agency immediately forward the grievance (or any portion thereof that alleges the substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse) to a level of review at which immediate corrective action may be taken? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)
 Yes No NA
- After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency provide an initial response within 48 hours? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA
- After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency issue a final agency decision within 5 calendar days? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)
 Yes No NA
- Does the initial response and final agency decision document the agency's determination whether the resident is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA
- Does the initial response document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA
- Does the agency's final decision document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA

115.352 (g)

- If the agency disciplines a resident for filing a grievance related to alleged sexual abuse, does it do so ONLY where the agency demonstrates that the resident filed the grievance in bad faith? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The agency has an administrative procedure for dealing with student grievances regarding sexual abuse. Agency policy allows a student to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse at any time regardless of when the incident is alleged to have occurred. The agency's policy requires that a student grievance alleging sexual abuse not be referred to the staff member who is the subject of the complaint. The agency's policy and procedures require that a decision on the merits of any grievance or portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse be made within 90 days of the filing of the grievance. Agency policy permits third parties, including fellow students, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, to assist students in filing requests

for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse, and to file such requests on behalf of students. Policy requires that if the student declines to have third-party assistance in filing a grievance alleging sexual abuse, the agency documents the student's decision to decline. The policy allows parents or legal guardians of students to file a grievance alleging sexual abuse, including appeals, on behalf of such student, regardless of whether or not the student agrees to have the grievance filed on their behalf.

The agency has an established procedure for filing an emergency grievance alleging that a student is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse. Emergency grievances alleging substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse require an initial response within 48 hours. The procedure for emergency grievances alleging substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse require that a final agency decision be issued within 5 days. The agency has a written policy that limits its ability to discipline a student for filing a grievance alleging sexual abuse to occasions where the agency demonstrates that the student filed the grievance in bad faith.

At time of audit there were no students detained who have reported sexual abuse to interview.

Policy reviewed:

ROP Safe Environment Standards

Documentation/files reviewed:

Student Grievance Form

Student Brochure

Third Party Reporting Form – English and Spanish

Based on evidence discussed, the facility has demonstrated compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.353: Resident access to outside confidential support services and legal representation

115.353 (a)

- Does the facility provide residents with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by providing, posting, or otherwise making assessable mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations? Yes No
- Does the facility provide persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available of local, State, or national immigrant services agencies? (N/A if the facility *never* has persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes.) Yes No NA
- Does the facility enable reasonable communication between residents and these organizations and agencies, in as confidential a manner as possible? Yes No

115.353 (b)

- Does the facility inform residents, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws? Yes No

115.353 (c)

- Does the agency maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding or other agreements with community service providers that are able to provide residents with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse? Yes No
- Does the agency maintain copies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter into such agreements? Yes No

115.353 (d)

- Does the facility provide residents with reasonable and confidential access to their attorneys or other legal representation? Yes No
- Does the facility provide residents with reasonable access to parents or legal guardians? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The facility provides students with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse and with access to such services by giving students (by providing, posting, or otherwise making accessible) mailing addresses and telephone numbers (including toll-free hotline numbers where available) for local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations. The facility provides students with access to such services by enabling reasonable communication between students and these organizations in as confidential a manner as possible.

This facility does not detain solely for civil immigration purposes.

The facility informs students, prior to giving them access to outside support services, the extent to which such communications will be monitored. The facility informs students, prior to giving them access to outside support services, of the mandatory reporting rules governing privacy, confidentiality, and/or privilege that apply to disclosures of sexual abuse made to outside victim advocates, including any limits to confidentiality under relevant Federal, State, or local law.

The facility maintains a memorandum of understanding with The Blue Bench who are able to provide students with emotional support services related to sexual abuse. The facility maintains a copy of that agreement and the auditor was provided a copy of the MOU. The facility provides students with reasonable and confidential access to their attorneys or other legal representation. The facility provides students with reasonable access to parents or legal guardians.,

During the tour of the facility the auditor observed posters in the units containing victim advocacy/crisis hotline information that included a toll-free number.

At time of audit, there are were no students detained who reported sexual abuse.

Interviews conducted revealed that students are aware of services available outside the facility for dealing with sexual abuse. Students were aware of the crisis services hotline they can call. Students stated that they can make a private call when requested.

Staff stated during interview that students have access to their attorney and parents in person, in writing, by video conferencing, or by phone. Students can also write letters or call them. These visits are held in a private space. Video conferencing is also available.

Policy reviewed:

ROP Safe Environment Standards

Documentation/files reviewed:

Student Brochure

SES "A Student's Guide..."

Student Grievance form

Student poster

MOU The Blue Bench

The Blue Bench Poster

Zero Tolerance Posters

Interviews:

Compliance Manager

Program Director

Random students

Based on the evidence discussed, the facility has demonstrated compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.354: Third-party reporting

115.354 (a)

- Has the agency established a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No
- Has the agency distributed publicly information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of a resident? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The agency provides a method to receive third-party reports of student sexual abuse or sexual harassment. The agency publicly distributes information on how to report student sexual abuse or sexual harassment on behalf of students.

Third party reports of student sexual abuse or sexual harassment are reviewed by the compliance manager. The facility distributes information on how to report student sexual abuse or sexual harassment on behalf of students through information provided in handbooks, contractor and volunteer pamphlets, parent pamphlets, and it is also contained in the ROP Safe Environment Standards. Third party reports can be submitted in person, email, or US Postal Service. Third party report forms are available in the lobby and on the facility's website.

Policy reviewed:

ROP Safe Environment Standards

Documentation/files reviewed:

Third Party Reporting Form and Posters

PREA Brochure in Lobby

Website Review

Based on the evidence discussed, the facility has demonstrated compliance with this standard.

OFFICIAL RESPONSE FOLLOWING A RESIDENT REPORT

Standard 115.361: Staff and agency reporting duties

115.361 (a)

- Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency? Yes No
- Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding retaliation against residents or staff who reported an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment? Yes No
- Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment or retaliation? Yes No

115.361 (b)

- Does the agency require all staff to comply with any applicable mandatory child abuse reporting laws? Yes No

115.361 (c)

- Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials and designated State or local services agencies, are staff prohibited from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary, as specified in agency policy, to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions? Yes No

115.361 (d)

- Are medical and mental health practitioners required to report sexual abuse to designated supervisors and officials pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section as well as to the designated State or local services agency where required by mandatory reporting laws? Yes No
- Are medical and mental health practitioners required to inform residents of their duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services? Yes No

115.361 (e)

- Upon receiving any allegation of sexual abuse, does the facility head or his or her designee promptly report the allegation to the appropriate office? Yes No
- Upon receiving any allegation of sexual abuse, does the facility head or his or her designee promptly report the allegation to the alleged victim's parents or legal guardians unless the facility

has official documentation showing the parents or legal guardians should not be notified?

Yes No

- If an alleged victim is under the guardianship of the child welfare system, does the facility head or his or her designee promptly report the allegation to the alleged victim's caseworker instead of the parents or legal guardians? Yes No
- If a juvenile court retains jurisdiction over the alleged victim, does the facility head or designee also report the allegation to the juvenile's attorney or other legal representative of record within 14 days of receiving the allegation? Yes No

115.361 (f)

- Does the facility report all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports, to the facility's designated investigators? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The agency requires all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information they receive regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency. The agency requires all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any retaliation against students or staff who reported such an incident. The agency requires all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident or retaliation. The agency requires all staff to comply with any applicable mandatory child abuse reporting laws.

Apart from reporting to the designated supervisors or officials and designated State or local service agencies, agency policy prohibits staff from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions. A review of an investigation file determined that attorney, parent, and child protective services notifications were made in a timely manner.

Interviews with staff indicate that when the facility receives an allegation of sexual abuse, the allegation is reported to the compliance manager, PREA coordinator and the facility director. If the victim is under the guardianship of child welfare system, the social worker is contacted. If the court retains jurisdiction over the victim, the student's attorney is notified. Attorneys and parents are notified immediately and within 14 days. Staff have received training on PREA and their duty to report as verified by the auditor through file review. The

compliance manager stated that Arapahoe County Sheriff Department and Arapahoe County social services hotline would also be notified.

Medical and mental health staff stated that at the initiation of services to a student, limitations of confidentiality and duty to report is disclosed. Staff are mandatory reporters.

Policy reviewed:

ROP Safe Environment Standards

Child Abuse Reporting Policy 100.407

DYS Policy 9.17 Reporting Alleged Child Abuse

Documentation/file reviewed:

Acknowledgement of DYS Policy 9.17

Staff training file review

Investigation file review

Interviews conducted:

Random staff

Medical and Mental Health staff

Compliance Manager

Program Director

Based on the evidence discussed, the facility has demonstrated compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.362: Agency protection duties

115.362 (a)

- When the agency learns that a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does it take immediate action to protect the resident? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

Facility policy includes that when the facility learns that a student is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, it takes immediate action to protect the student (i.e., it takes some action to assess and implement appropriate protective measures without unreasonable delay).

During interviews with staff, staff indicated the appropriate actions to take to protect a student. Staff stated that the expectation for how quickly staff should respond to protect students at substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse is immediate, without delay. A safety plan is immediately implemented.

Policy reviewed:

ROP Safe Environment Standards

Documentation/files reviewed:

PREA Response Flow Chart

ROP SES Coordinated Response Plan

Response Plan for each Site Flowchart

RVA PREA Response flowchart

PREA Notification and Responsibility Tree

Interviews conducted:

Agency Head

Program Director

Random staff

Based on the evidence discussed, the facility has demonstrated compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.363: Reporting to other confinement facilities

115.363 (a)

- Upon receiving an allegation that a resident was sexually abused while confined at another facility, does the head of the facility that received the allegation notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred? Yes No
- Does the head of the facility that received the allegation also notify the appropriate investigative agency? Yes No

115.363 (b)

- Is such notification provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation? Yes No

115.363 (c)

- Does the agency document that it has provided such notification? Yes No

115.363 (d)

- Does the facility head or agency office that receives such notification ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with these standards? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The agency has a policy requiring that, upon receiving an allegation that a student was sexually abused while confined at another facility, the head of the facility must notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency or facility where sexual abuse is alleged to have occurred. The agency's policy also requires that the head of the facility notify the appropriate investigative agency. The policy requires that the facility head provides such notification as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation. The facility documents that it has provided such notification within 72 hours of receiving the allegation. The agency policy requires that allegations received from other agencies or facilities are investigated in accordance with the PREA standards.

During interviews with the agency head and program director it was stated that should another facility refer allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred within one of their facilities, the notification would go directly to the compliance manager and program director and an investigation would occur. To date, there has not been any such report made.

Policy reviewed:

ROP Safe Environment Standards

Documentation/files reviewed:

PREA Flowchart

Investigation file review

Coordinated Response Plan

Interviews conducted:

Agency head

Program Director

Based on the evidence discussed, the facility has demonstrated compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.364: Staff first responder duties

115.364 (a)

- Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Separate the alleged victim and abuser?
 Yes No
- Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence? Yes No
- Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence? Yes No
- Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence? Yes No

115.364 (b)

- If the first staff responder is not a security staff member, is the responder required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify security staff? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The agency has a first responder policy for allegations of sexual abuse. The policy requires that, upon learning of an allegation that a student was sexually abused, the first security staff member to respond to the report

separate the alleged victim and abuser; preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence; request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating; and that, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence, the first security staff member to respond to the report ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating.

Agency policy requires that if the first staff responder is not a security staff member, that responder shall be required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence. Agency policy also requires that if the first staff responder is not a security staff member, that responder shall be required to notify security staff.

At time of audit, there were not any students who reported sexual abuse to interview.

During interview, first responders and random staff were able to describe the actions they would take as a first responder to an allegation of sexual abuse. Staff mentioned that notification would be made to medical and mental health practitioners and that protection of evidence would be maintained as to not destroy any evidence.

Policy reviewed:

ROP Safe Environment Standards

Documentation/files reviewed:

RVA PREA Response flowchart

RVA SES Allegation Tracker 2019, 2020

Interviews conducted:

First responders

Random staff

Based on the evidence discussed, the facility has demonstrated compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.365: Coordinated response

115.365 (a)

- Has the facility developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

Exceeds Standard (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)

Meets Standard (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)

Does Not Meet Standard (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The facility has developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership.

The Program Director was able to explain what the facility's plan to coordinate actions among staff first responders, medical and mental health staff, investigators, and facility leadership would be. A flowchart/checklist would be utilized, and a safety plan put into place.

Policy reviewed:

ROP Safe Environment Standards

Documentation/files reviewed:

ROP SES Coordinated Response Plan

RVA PREA Response Plan flowchart

Interviews conducted:

Program Director

Based on the evidence discussed, the facility has demonstrated compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.366: Preservation of ability to protect residents from contact with abusers

115.366 (a)

- Are both the agency and any other governmental entities responsible for collective bargaining on the agency's behalf prohibited from entering into or renewing any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limits the agency's ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any residents pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted? Yes No

115.366 (b)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

According to the program director, the facility/state does not participate in collective bargaining on the agency's behalf, therefore this standard is not applicable.

Interviews conducted:

Program Director

Based on the evidence discussed, the facility has demonstrated compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.367: Agency protection against retaliation

115.367 (a)

- Has the agency established a policy to protect all residents and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other residents or staff? Yes No
- Has the agency designated which staff members or departments are charged with monitoring retaliation? Yes No

115.367 (b)

- Does the agency employ multiple protection measures, such as housing changes or transfers for resident victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or resident abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services, for residents or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations,? Yes No

115.367 (c)

- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency monitor: The conduct and treatment of residents or staff who reported the sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by residents or staff? Yes No
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency monitor: The conduct

and treatment of residents who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by residents or staff? Yes No

- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Act promptly to remedy any such retaliation? Yes No
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency monitor: Any resident disciplinary reports? Yes No
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency monitor: Resident housing changes? Yes No
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency monitor: Resident program changes? Yes No
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency monitor: Negative performance reviews of staff? Yes No
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency monitor: Reassignments of staff? Yes No
- Does the agency continue such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need? Yes No

115.367 (d)

- In the case of residents, does such monitoring also include periodic status checks?
 Yes No

115.367 (e)

- If any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, does the agency take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation?
 Yes No

115.367 (f)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

Exceeds Standard (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)

- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The agency has a policy to protect all students and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other students or staff. The agency designates a staff member(s) with monitoring for possible retaliation.

The facility monitors the conduct or treatment of students or staff who reported sexual abuse and of students who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are any changes that may suggest possible retaliation by students or staff. The facility acts promptly to remedy any such retaliation and the facility continues such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need.

The facility developed and implemented an antibullying policy, training, and campaign to help detect possible retaliation and red flags of victimization. The auditor was provided a copy of the policy and training PowerPoint.

At time of audit, there were no students held in isolation and no students who reported sexual abuse to interview.

During interview, staff stated that retaliation is monitored closely, and appropriate action is taken when needed. Reviews will begin at time of the incident and will continue for no less than 90 days. A safety plan is developed and continually reviewed by management. Reports are prepared and given to the compliance manager.

Policy reviewed:

ROP Safe Environment Standards

Student Problem Solving and Grievance Policy 600.402

Employee Protection (Whistleblower) Policy 100.402

Student Safety-Bullying and Victimization Response and Prevention Policy 23.1

Documentation/Files Reviewed:

Professionalism and Boundaries PowerPoint training

MDT notes

Interviews conducted:

Agency Head

Program Director

Staff charged with monitoring retaliation

Based on the evidence discussed, the facility exceeds compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.368: Post-allegation protective custody

115.368 (a)

- Is any and all use of segregated housing to protect a resident who is alleged to have suffered sexual abuse subject to the requirements of § 115.342? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The facility has a policy that students who allege to have suffered sexual abuse may only be placed in isolation as a last resort if less restrictive measures are inadequate to keep them and other students safe, and only until an alternative means of keeping all students safe can be arranged. The facility policy requires that students who are placed in isolation because they allege to have suffered sexual abuse have access to legally required educational programming, special education services, and daily large-muscle exercise. If a student who alleges to have suffered sexual abuse is held in isolation, the facility affords each such student a review every 30 days to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population.

During the tour of the facility, no students were held in isolation due to alleging to have suffered sexual abuse.

During interview with the Program Director, the facility has not had any circumstances in which isolation was used to protect a student who has alleged to have suffered sexual abuse. Medical and mental health staff stated that isolation is not utilized and that students are provided single cells to keep them safe. Ridge View Youth Services Center does not have isolation areas.

Policy reviewed:

ROP Safe Environment Standards

Interviews conducted:

Program Director

Medical and Mental Health staff

Based on the evidence discussed, the facility has demonstrated compliance with this standard.

INVESTIGATIONS

Standard 115.371: Criminal and administrative agency investigations

115.371 (a)

- When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? [N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.321(a).] Yes No NA
- Does the agency conduct such investigations for all allegations, including third party and anonymous reports? [N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.321(a).] Yes No NA

115.371 (b)

- Where sexual abuse is alleged, does the agency use investigators who have received specialized training in sexual abuse investigations involving juvenile victims as required by 115.334? Yes No

115.371 (c)

- Do investigators gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data? Yes No
- Do investigators interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses? Yes No
- Do investigators review prior reports and complaints of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator? Yes No

115.371 (d)

- Does the agency always refrain from terminating an investigation solely because the source of the allegation recants the allegation? Yes No

115.371 (e)

- When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution? Yes No

115.371 (f)

- Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of that individual's status as resident or staff?
 Yes No
- Does the agency investigate allegations of sexual abuse without requiring a resident who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding? Yes No

115.371 (g)

- Do administrative investigations include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse? Yes No
- Are administrative investigations documented in written reports that include a description of the physical evidence and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings? Yes No

115.371 (h)

- Are criminal investigations documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of the physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible? Yes No

115.371 (i)

- Are all substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal referred for prosecution?
 Yes No

115.371 (j)

- Does the agency retain all written reports referenced in 115.371(g) and (h) for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years unless the abuse was committed by a juvenile resident and applicable law requires a shorter period of retention?
 Yes No

115.371 (k)

- Does the agency ensure that the departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the agency does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation?
 Yes No

115.371 (l)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

115.371 (m)

- When an outside agency investigates sexual abuse, does the facility cooperate with outside investigators and endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation? (N/A if an outside agency does not conduct administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.321(a).) Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The agency has a policy related to criminal and administrative agency investigations. The agency does not terminate an investigation solely because the source of the allegation recants the allegation and substantiated allegations of conduct that appear to be criminal are referred for prosecution.

The agency retains all written reports pertaining to the administrative or criminal investigation of alleged sexual abuse or sexual harassment for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years.

A review of one investigation file determined the investigation was done promptly, thoroughly, and objectively.

At time of audit, there are no students detained that reported sexual abuse.

During interviews, staff stated that the compliance manager remains informed of the progress of sexual abuse investigations that are being conducted by Arapahoe County Sheriff’s Office. The auditor recommended that the compliance manager maintain a written document of all verbal communication between the facility and investigating agency.

Policy reviewed:

ROP Safe Environment Standards

Documentation/Files Reviewed:

Internal Notice of Potential PREA Incident

SES Coordinated Response Plan

Administrative Response and Review form

Investigation recommendations form

Post allegation Student Notification form

SSV 2020

Allegation Tracker 2018, 2019, 2020

Investigation File Review

Interviews conducted:

Investigative staff

Program Director

PREA Coordinator

Compliance Manager

Based on the evidence discussed, the facility has demonstrated compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.372: Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations

115.372 (a)

- Is it true that the agency does not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The investigative agency imposes a standard of a preponderance of the evidence or a lower standard of proof for determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated.

Review of investigation file revealed that a preponderance of evidence standard was applied.

During interview with investigative staff, it was stated that the Arapahoe County Sheriff's Office responsible for investigating criminal allegations of sexual abuse imposes a standard of a preponderance of the evidence.

Policy reviewed:

ROP Safe Environment Standards

Documentation/file reviewed:

Investigation file review

Interviews conducted:

Investigative staff

Based on the evidence discussed, the facility has demonstrated compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.373: Reporting to residents

115.373 (a)

- Following an investigation into a resident's allegation that he or she suffered sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency inform the resident as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded? Yes No

115.373 (b)

- If the agency did not conduct the investigation into a resident's allegation of sexual abuse in the agency's facility, does the agency request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the resident? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations.) Yes No NA

115.373 (c)

- Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer posted within the resident's unit? Yes No
- Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer employed at the facility? Yes No
- Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility? Yes No
- Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? Yes No

115.373 (d)

- Following a resident's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another resident, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?
 Yes No
- Following a resident's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another resident, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?
 Yes No

115.373 (e)

- Does the agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications? Yes No

115.373 (f)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The agency has a policy requiring that any student who makes an allegation that they have suffered sexual abuse in a facility is informed, verbally or in writing, as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded following an investigation by the agency. An outside entity conducts such investigations. The agency requests the relevant information from the investigative entity in order to inform the student of the outcome of the investigation.

Following a student's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the student, the facility subsequently informs the student (unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded) whenever the staff member is no longer posted within the student's unit; the staff member is no longer employed at the facility; the agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility; or the agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related.

Following a student's allegation that they have been sexually abused by another student, the agency subsequently informs the alleged victim whenever the agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility; or the agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility.

The agency has a policy that all notifications to students described under this standard are documented.

At time of audit there were no students who reported sexual abuse to interview.

Staff stated during interviews that the compliance manager would notify a student who makes an allegation of sexual abuse, that the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded following an investigation.

Policy reviewed:

ROP Safe Environment Standards

Documentation/files reviewed:

Investigation File review

Post Investigation Student Notification

Interviews conducted:

Program Director

Investigative staff

Based on the evidence discussed, the facility has demonstrated compliance with this standard.

DISCIPLINE

Standard 115.376: Disciplinary sanctions for staff

115.376 (a)

- Are staff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies? Yes No

115.376 (b)

- Is termination the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse? Yes No

115.376 (c)

- Are disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories? Yes No

115.376 (d)

- Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)? Yes No
- Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Relevant licensing bodies? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

According to policy, staff are subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies.

The disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) are commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories. All terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, are reported to law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal, and to any relevant licensing bodies.

Policy reviewed:

ROP Safe Environment Standards

Employee Standards of Conduct and Performance Policy 100.410

Documentation/file reviewed:

Internal Notice of Potential PREA Incident

Disciplinary documentation file review

Based on the evidence discussed, the facility has demonstrated compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.377: Corrective action for contractors and volunteers

115.377 (a)

- Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse prohibited from contact with residents? Yes No
- Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)? Yes No
- Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Relevant licensing bodies? Yes No

115.377 (b)

- In the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer, does the facility take appropriate remedial measures, and consider whether to prohibit further contact with residents? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

Agency policy requires that any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse be reported to law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal, and to relevant licensing bodies. Agency policy requires that any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse be prohibited from contact with students. The facility takes appropriate remedial measures and considers whether to prohibit further contact with students in the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer.

During interview with the Program Director, it was stated that in the case of any violation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer, the facility will take remedial measures and prohibit further contact with students. To date, no reports have been made.

Policy reviewed:

ROP Safe Environment Standards

Interviews conducted:

Program Director

Based on the evidence discussed, the facility has demonstrated compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.378: Interventions and disciplinary sanctions for residents

115.378 (a)

- Following an administrative finding that a resident engaged in resident-on-resident sexual abuse, or following a criminal finding of guilt for resident-on-resident sexual abuse, may residents be subject to disciplinary sanctions only pursuant to a formal disciplinary process? Yes No

115.378 (b)

- Are disciplinary sanctions commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the resident's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other residents with similar histories? Yes No
- In the event a disciplinary sanction results in the isolation of a resident, does the agency ensure the resident is not denied daily large-muscle exercise? Yes No
- In the event a disciplinary sanction results in the isolation of a resident, does the agency ensure the resident is not denied access to any legally required educational programming or special education services? Yes No
- In the event a disciplinary sanction results in the isolation of a resident, does the agency ensure the resident receives daily visits from a medical or mental health care clinician? Yes No
- In the event a disciplinary sanction results in the isolation of a resident, does the resident also have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent possible? Yes No

115.378 (c)

- When determining what types of sanction, if any, should be imposed, does the disciplinary process consider whether a resident's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior? Yes No

115.378 (d)

- If the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse, does the facility consider whether to offer the offending resident participation in such interventions? Yes No
- If the agency requires participation in such interventions as a condition of access to any rewards-based behavior management system or other behavior-based incentives, does it always refrain from requiring such participation as a condition to accessing general programming or education? Yes No

115.378 (e)

- Does the agency discipline a resident for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact? Yes No

115.378 (f)

- For the purpose of disciplinary action does a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred NOT constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation? Yes No

115.378 (g)

- If the agency prohibits all sexual activity between residents, does the agency always refrain from considering non-coercive sexual activity between residents to be sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not prohibit all sexual activity between residents.) Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

Policy states that students are subject to disciplinary sanctions only pursuant to a formal disciplinary process following an administrative finding that the student engaged in student-on-student sexual abuse. Students are subject to disciplinary sanctions only pursuant to a formal disciplinary process following a criminal finding of guilt for student-on-student sexual abuse. In the event a disciplinary sanction for student-on student sexual abuse results in the isolation of a student, the facility policy requires that students in isolation have daily access to large muscle exercise, legally required educational programming, and special education services. In the event a disciplinary sanction for student-on-student sexual abuse results in the isolation of a student, students in isolation receive daily visits from a medical or mental health care clinician. In the event a disciplinary sanction for student-on-student sexual abuse results in the isolation of a student, students in isolation have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent possible.

The facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct the underlying reasons or motivations for abuse. Access to general programming or education is not conditional on participation in such interventions.

The agency disciplines students for sexual conduct with staff only upon finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact. The agency prohibits disciplinary action for a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation. The agency prohibits all sexual activity between students.

According to the Program Director, sanctions are proportionate to the nature and circumstances of the abuses committed, the student's disciplinary histories, and the sanctions imposed for similar offenses by other students with similar histories. Mental disability and mental illness are considered when determining sanctions. Isolation is not used as a disciplinary sanction.

Medical and mental health staff indicated that the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other intervention services designed to address and correct the underlying reasons or motivations for sexual abuse. Participation is not a condition of access to any reward-based behavior management system, programming, or education.

Policy reviewed:

ROP Safe Environment Standards

Documentation/file reviewed:

Student Handbook

Interviews conducted:

Program Director

Medical/Mental Health staff

Based on the evidence discussed, the facility has demonstrated compliance with this standard.

MEDICAL AND MENTAL CARE

Standard 115.381: Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse

115.381 (a)

- If the screening pursuant to § 115.341 indicates that a resident has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the resident is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? Yes No

115.381 (b)

- If the screening pursuant to § 115.341 indicates that a resident has previously perpetrated sexual abuse, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the resident is offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? Yes No

115.381 (c)

- Is any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners and other staff as necessary to

inform treatment plans and security management decisions, including housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments, or as otherwise required by Federal, State, or local law?
 Yes No

115.381 (d)

- Do medical and mental health practitioners obtain informed consent from residents before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting, unless the resident is under the age of 18? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

to §115.341 are offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner. The follow-up meeting is offered within 14 days of the intake screening. Medical and mental health staff maintain secondary materials (e.g., form, log) documenting compliance with the above required services. All students who have ever previously perpetrated sexual abuse are offered a meeting with a mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening.

Information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting is strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners. Medical and mental health practitioners obtain informed consent from students before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting, unless the student is under the age of 18. Student's discharge from the program after their 21st birthday.

Interviews with students who disclosed prior sexual abuse disclosed that when they reported to staff that they had been sexually abused, staff asked if they wanted to speak to a therapist. They also stated that are assigned a therapist.

Interviews with staff indicated that informed consent from students is obtained before reporting about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting. Parents or court must give consent. Staff stated that follow up meetings with medical or mental health staff are offered.

Policy reviewed:

ROP Safe Environment Standards

Documentation/file review:

Disclosure statements

Tracking forms 2018, 2019, 2020

Interviews conducted:

Staff who conduct risk screenings

Students who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening

Medical and Mental Health staff

Based on the evidence discussed, the facility has demonstrated compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.382: Access to emergency medical and mental health services

115.382 (a)

- Do resident victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment? Yes No

115.382 (b)

- If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent sexual abuse is made, do staff first responders take preliminary steps to protect the victim pursuant to § 115.362? Yes No
- Do staff first responders immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health practitioners? Yes No

115.382 (c)

- Are resident victims of sexual abuse offered timely information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate? Yes No

115.382 (d)

- Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

Exceeds Standard (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)

Meets Standard (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)

Does Not Meet Standard (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

According to policy, student victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services. The nature and scope of such services are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment. Medical and mental health staff maintain secondary materials (e.g., form, log) documenting the timeliness of emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services that were provided; the appropriate response by non-health staff in the event health staff are not present at the time the incident is reported; and the provision of appropriate and timely information and services concerning contraception and sexually transmitted infection prophylaxis.

Student victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated are offered timely information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate.

Treatment services are provided to every victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incidents.

At time of audit, there were no students who reported sexual abuse detained.

Staff interviews indicate that victims of sexual abuse receive timely and unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services.

Policy reviewed:

ROP Safe Environment Standards

Documentation/file reviewed:

SES "A Student's Guide..."

Sick call forms

Discharge paperwork/file review

Interviews conducted:

Medical and Mental Health staff

First Responders

Based on the evidence discussed, the facility has demonstrated compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.383: Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers

115.383 (a)

- Does the facility offer medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all residents who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility? Yes No

115.383 (b)

- Does the evaluation and treatment of such victims include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody? Yes No

115.383 (c)

- Does the facility provide such victims with medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care? Yes No

115.383 (d)

- Are resident victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated offered pregnancy tests? (N/A if “all-male” facility. *Note: in “all-male” facilities, there may be residents who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.*) Yes No NA

115.383 (e)

- If pregnancy results from the conduct described in paragraph § 115.383(d), do such victims receive timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services? (N/A if “all-male” facility. *Note: in “all-male” facilities, there may be residents who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.*) Yes No NA

115.383 (f)

- Are resident victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate? Yes No

115.383 (g)

- Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident? Yes No

115.383 (h)

- Does the facility attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known resident-on-resident abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

Per policy, the facility offers medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all students who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility. The facility houses male students only. Student victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated are offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate. Treatment services are provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident.

Staff stated during interview that the facility attempts to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known student-on-student abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offers treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners. A safety plan is implemented.

At time of audit there were no students detained who reported sexual abuse to be interviewed.

Policy reviewed:

ROP Safe Environment Standards

Documentation/file reviewed:

MH contacts

Risk assessment tool

Interviews conducted:

Medical and Mental Health staff

Based on the evidence discussed, the facility has demonstrated compliance with this standard.

DATA COLLECTION AND REVIEW

Standard 115.386: Sexual abuse incident reviews

115.386 (a)

- Does the facility conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded? Yes No

115.386 (b)

- Does such review ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation? Yes No

115.386 (c)

- Does the review team include upper-level management officials, with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners? Yes No

115.386 (d)

- Does the review team: Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse? Yes No
- Does the review team: Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; gang affiliation; or other group dynamics at the facility? Yes No
- Does the review team: Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse? Yes No
- Does the review team: Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts? Yes No
- Does the review team: Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff? Yes No
- Does the review team: Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to §§ 115.386(d)(1) - (d)(5), and any recommendations for improvement and submit such report to the facility head and PREA compliance manager? Yes No

115.386 (e)

- Does the facility implement the recommendations for improvement, or document its reasons for not doing so? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

Per policy, the facility conducts a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigation, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded. The facility ordinarily conducts a sexual abuse incident review within 30 days of the conclusion of the criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigation.

A review of the investigation file determined that a sexual abuse incident review was completed within 30 days.

The facility utilizes a PREA Incident Response flowchart. The flowchart is very detailed and well organized. All staff interviewed were familiar with the flow chart and its use and purpose.

The sexual abuse incident review team includes upper-level management officials and allows for input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners.

During interviews with staff, it was stated that the facility prepares a report of its findings from sexual abuse incident reviews, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made and any recommendations for improvement, and submits such report to the PREA coordinator. The facility implements the recommendations for improvement or documents its reasons for not doing so.

Policy reviewed:

ROP Safe Environment Standards

Documentation/files reviewed:

Administrative Investigation Reports

Post PREA Investigation Recommendation and Implementation

SES Administrative and Response Review

Allegation Trackers

Incident Response flowchart

Investigation file review

Interviews conducted:

Program Director

Compliance Manager

SART Team members

Based on the evidence discussed, the facility has exceeded compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.387: Data collection

115.387 (a)

- Does the agency collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions? Yes No

115.387 (b)

- Does the agency aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually? Yes No

115.387 (c)

- Does the incident-based data include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice? Yes No

115.387 (d)

- Does the agency maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews? Yes No

115.387 (e)

- Does the agency also obtain incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its residents? (N/A if agency does not contract for the confinement of its residents.) Yes No NA

115.387 (f)

- Does the agency, upon request, provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30? (N/A if DOJ has not requested agency data.) Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

Exceeds Standard (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)

Meets Standard (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)

Does Not Meet Standard (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

At time of audit, the agency has an approved annual report containing information described in this standard. The report can be found on the facility's website.

The agency collects accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions. The agency aggregates the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually. The standardized instrument includes, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence (SSV) conducted by the Department of Justice. The agency maintains, reviews, and collects data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews.

The agency does not contract with other entities for the confinement of its students. The agency provides the Department of Justice (DOJ) with data from the previous calendar year upon request.

Policy reviewed:

ROP Safe Environment Standards

Documentation/files reviewed:

Survey of Sexual Victimization

Incident Tracker documentation

Risk assessment tool

Based on evidence discussed, the facility has demonstrated compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.388: Data review for corrective action

115.388 (a)

- Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.387 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Identifying problem areas? Yes No
- Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.387 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis? Yes No

- Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.387 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole? Yes No

115.388 (b)

- Does the agency's annual report include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provide an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse Yes No

115.388 (c)

- Is the agency's annual report approved by the agency head and made readily available to the public through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means? Yes No

115.388 (d)

- Does the agency indicate the nature of the material redacted where it redacts specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The agency reviews data collected and aggregated pursuant to §115.387 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, response policies, and training, including identifying problem areas; taking corrective action on an ongoing basis; and preparing an annual report of its findings from its data review and any corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole.

The agency prepares an annual report that includes a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years. The annual report provides an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse. The auditor was provided access to these reports.

The agency makes its annual report readily available to the public at least annually through its website. The annual reports are approved by the facility director and PREA coordinator.

When the agency redacts material from an annual report for publication, the redaction is limited to specific materials where publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of the facility. The agency indicates the nature of material redacted.

Interviews with staff indicate that the annual data reports are completed and published. The auditor was able to verify reports on the website. Reports are well written and very detailed.

Policy reviewed:

ROP Safe Environment Standards

Documentation/files reviewed:

2019 PREA Annual Report

Review of agency website

Interviews conducted:

Agency Head

PREA Coordinator

Compliance Manager

Based on evidence discussed, the facility has exceeded compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.389: Data storage, publication, and destruction

115.389 (a)

- Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.387 are securely retained?
 Yes No

115.389 (b)

- Does the agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means? Yes No

115.389 (c)

- Does the agency remove all personal identifiers before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available? Yes No

115.389 (d)

- Does the agency maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.387 for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection, unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The agency ensures that incident-based and aggregate data are securely retained. Agency policy requires that aggregated sexual abuse data from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, be made readily available to the public, at least annually, through its website.

Before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available, the agency removes all personal identifiers. The agency maintains sexual abuse data collected pursuant to §115.387 for at least 10 years after the date of initial collection, unless federal, state, or local law requires otherwise.

According to the PREA coordinator, all annual reports are redacted and do not contain any personal identifying information. The annual reports are located on the facility's website and the auditor was able to view the reports.

Policy reviewed:

ROP Safe Environment Standards

Documentation/files reviewed:

2019 Annual Report

Website Review

Interviews conducted:

PREA Coordinator

Based on evidence discussed, the facility has demonstrated compliance with this standard.

AUDITING AND CORRECTIVE ACTION

Standard 115.401: Frequency and scope of audits

115.401 (a)

- During the prior three-year audit period, did the agency ensure that each facility operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited at least once? (*Note: The response here is purely informational. A "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.*) Yes No

115.401 (b)

- Is this the first year of the current audit cycle? (*Note: a "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.*) Yes No
- If this is the second year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least one-third of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited during the first year of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is **not** the *second* year of the current audit cycle.) Yes No NA
- If this is the third year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least two-thirds of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, were audited during the first two years of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is **not** the *third* year of the current audit cycle.) Yes No NA

115.401 (h)

- Did the auditor have access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the audited facility? Yes No

115.401 (i)

- Was the auditor permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents (including electronically stored information)? Yes No

115.401 (m)

- Was the auditor permitted to conduct private interviews with residents? Yes No

115.401 (n)

- Were residents permitted to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel? Yes No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)

Does Not Meet Standard (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

During the prior three-year audit period, the agency ensured that each facility operated by the agency was audited at least once. This is the third audit for this facility. The auditor had access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the audited facility. The auditor was permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents (including electronically stored information). The auditor was permitted to conduct private interviews with students and staff. Students were permitted to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel. The auditor observed the audit announcements posted throughout the facility. The auditor did not receive any correspondence from students or staff.

Documentation/files reviewed:

PREA Final Audit Report

Website Review

Based on the evidence discussed, the facility has demonstrated compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.403: Audit contents and findings

115.403 (f)

- The agency has published on its agency website, if it has one, or has otherwise made publicly available, all Final Audit Reports. The review period is for prior audits completed during the past three years PRECEDING THIS AGENCY AUDIT. The pendency of any agency appeal pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 115.405 does not excuse noncompliance with this provision. (N/A if there have been no Final Audit Reports issued in the past three years, or in the case of single facility agencies that there has never been a Final Audit Report issued.) Yes No NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

Exceeds Standard (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)

Meets Standard (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)

Does Not Meet Standard (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

This is the third audit for this facility. The last audit Final Report dated 2017 is published on the facility's website.

Documentation/files reviewed:

PREA Final Audit Report 2017

Website Review

Based on the evidence discussed, the facility has demonstrated compliance with this standard.

AUDITOR CERTIFICATION

I certify that:

- The contents of this report are accurate to the best of my knowledge.
- No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review, and
- I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any resident or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template.

Auditor Instructions:

Type your full name in the text box below for Auditor Signature. This will function as your official electronic signature. Auditors must deliver their final report to the PREA Resource Center as a searchable PDF format to ensure accessibility to people with disabilities. Save this report document into a PDF format prior to submission.¹ Auditors are not permitted to submit audit reports that have been scanned.² See the PREA Auditor Handbook for a full discussion of audit report formatting requirements.

Elaine Bridschge

08/12/2020

Auditor Signature

Date
